

**DETECTION OF PARASITIZED INSECTS FOR BIOLOGICAL CONTROL APPLICATIONS  
BY USING NIR SPECTROSCOPY**

**By**

**F. E. Dowell, Research Leader  
Engineering Research Unit  
USDA ARS GMPC  
Manhattan, KS 66502 USA**

**J. E. Throne, Research Leader  
J. E. Baker, Research Entomologist  
Biological Research Unit  
USDA ARS GMPC  
Manhattan, KS 66502 USA**

**A. B. Broce, Professor  
Department of Entomology  
Kansas State University  
Manhattan, KS 66506 USA**

**F. Xie, Graduate Student  
Department of Grain Science and Industry  
Kansas State University  
Manhattan, KS 66506 USA**

**Written for Presentation at the  
2000 ASAE Annual International Meeting  
Sponsored by ASAE**

**Midwest Express Center  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin  
July 9-12, 2000**

**Abstract:**

Near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopy was used to identify house fly puparia that contained viable parasitoids. Results derived from a partial least squares analysis of NIR spectra showed that about 80-90% of puparia containing parasitoids could be identified correctly. Difference spectra and beta coefficients indicated that absorption differences between parasitized and unparasitized puparia may be due to moisture content and/or differences in composition of chitin or lipid components. Detection of parasitoids within puparia could assist commercial insectaries in delivering known quantities of parasitized puparia for biological control of house flies and other filth flies and in rapidly determining levels of parasitization of these flies in confined livestock and poultry operations.

**Keywords:** Near infrared spectroscopy, partial least squares regression, insects, flies, parasitoids, biological control, puparia

The author is solely responsible for the content of this technical presentation. The technical presentation does not necessarily reflect the official position of ASAE, and its printing and distribution does not constitute an endorsement of views which may be expressed. Technical presentations are not subject to the formal peer review process by ASAE editorial committees; therefore, they are not to be presented as refereed publications.

Quotation from this work should state that it is from a presentation made by F. E. Dowell at the 2000 ASAE Annual Meeting.

EXAMPLE - From Author's Last Name, Initials. "Title of Presentation"- Presented at the Date and Title of meeting, Paper No. X. ASAE, 2950 Niles Road, St. Joseph, MI 49085-9659 USA.

For information about securing permission to reprint or reproduce a technical presentation, please address inquiries to ASAE.

ASAE, 2950 Niles Rd., St. Joseph, MI 49085-9659 USA  
Voice: 616.429.6300 FAX: 616.429.3852 E-Mail: [hq@asae.org](mailto:hq@asae.org)

House flies, *Musca domestica* L., and stable flies, *Stomoxys calcitrans* (L.) (Diptera: Muscidae), are common pests around feedlots, dairies, poultry houses, and residential areas. Although adult house flies do not bite, they can be a nuisance and can also vector several disease pathogens (Greenburg, 1973). Stable flies are blood-sucking flies that can annoy livestock and cause a reduction in weight gain and performance. Fly populations can become quite large in confined livestock operations, and some urban areas have imposed tolerance thresholds for nearby agricultural facilities (Meyer et al., 1990). These flies are difficult to control and reproduce rapidly by laying eggs in animal feces and other decaying organic materials. House fly control has been confounded by development of insecticide resistance (Horton et al., 1985) and elimination of some pesticides in response to public concern about the use of and exposure to chemical pesticides.

Biological control with parasitoids has been an effective means of reducing filth fly populations. Parasitic wasps in the genera *Spalangia* and *Muscidifurax* are natural enemies of house flies and other filth flies (Meyer et al., 1990; Geden et al., 1992; Greene et al., 1989; Weinzierl and Jones, 1998). The female parasitoid seeks out and locates a house fly puparium. This puparium, which encloses the pupa, is a barrel-shaped, sclerotized, and often dark-colored structure about 5 mm long formed during a process called pupariation (Zdarek, 1985). The wasp perforates the puparial cuticle with its tubular-shaped ovipositor and stings the pupa. The female wasp generally, but not always, lays an egg, via the ovipositor, in the space between the fly pupa and the puparium wall. If the pupa has not separated from the puparium, which occurs 1-2 days after pupariation, the wasp does not lay an egg. Thus, when a group of puparia is exposed to these wasps, some will not be attacked (i.e., pupae will emerge as adult flies about 1 week after pupariation); some will be stung and parasitized (i.e., eggs will be laid and parasitoids will emerge 1-2 weeks later); and some will be stung but not parasitized (i.e., neither fly nor parasitoid will emerge).

When rearing parasitoids for biological control of flies, commercial insectaries do not ship parasitoids to their customers until all flies have emerged from nonattacked puparia. However, many of the remaining puparia may contain stung but unparasitized hosts or, for a variety of other reasons, may not contain viable parasitoids. After flies emerge, the percentage of remaining puparia from which no wasp will emerge can range from 20-100% (Geden et al., 1992; Zdarek, 1985; Jackson et al., 1996). Some biological control failures have been attributed to a lack of information on percentage of parasitoid emergence. To maintain quality assurance and to optimize the effective use of an augmentative or inundative release strategy, commercial insectaries need a rapid means of determining parasitization levels.

We examined the potential for using near infrared (NIR) spectroscopy to differentiate between parasitized and unparasitized fly puparia. This technology has been used in a number of agricultural applications (Murray and Williams, 1990) including detecting parasitized and unparasitized insects inside single grain kernels (Baker et al., 1999; Dowell et al., 1998; Ridgway and Chambers, 1996) and differentiating among adult insect species (Dowell et al., 1999). However, NIR spectroscopy has never been used to detect parasitized fly puparia.

## Materials and Methods

### *Insects*

We obtained three groups of house fly puparia (groups 1-3) from cultures maintained in the Department of Entomology, Kansas State University, and two groups of puparia from different commercial insectaries (groups 4-5). All puparia obtained from Kansas State University were exposed to *Muscidifurax zaraptor* and were reared in similar environments and on similar diets, but at different times. The two groups obtained from commercial insectaries were exposed to either *Spalangia cameroni* (group 4) or *Muscidifurax raptorellus* (group 5) (Table 1). Puparia were obtained after flies had emerged from puparia that were not attacked. Therefore, puparia selected for tests contained parasitoids with dead fly pupae, only dead fly pupae, or dead fly pupae with dead parasitoids. All puparia, except those in group 1, were dissected after emergence of wasps and NIR scanning to determine if they contained a dead fly pupa or dead parasitoid.

### *NIR spectra collection*

A diode-array NIR spectrometer (Perten Instruments, Springfield, IL) was used to collect spectra (700-1700 nm) from a single fly puparium placed manually in a vee-shaped black trough that was illuminated by a fiber-optic bundle placed above the puparium at a 45° angle. The trough sides were 45° from vertical. A second fiber bundle placed directly above the puparium carried reflected light to the spectrometer. The black trough was used as a reference for absorption calculations. Although white ceramic-type materials are typically used for reference readings, the fixed test apparatus could not accommodate that material. In addition, the sensor gain settings used for the highly absorbent puparia resulted in sensor saturation when highly reflective reference material was used. These problems were resolved by using the black trough both as a reference and sample holding fixture. The trough was made of black plastic material that had uniform absorption throughout the 700-1700 nm region and had negligible specular reflection. Fifteen spectra from each puparium were collected, averaged, and stored by the system in about 1 s. After spectra were collected, each puparium was placed in a container and stored in a chamber at about 27°C and 60% RH and with a 12:12 h photoperiod. Puparia were scanned every 1 to 4 d until wasps emerged.

### *Data analysis*

NIR spectra were analyzed using a partial least squares (PLS) regression (Martens and Naes, 1989) and GRAMS software (Galactic, Salem, NH). For developing calibrations, unparasitized and parasitized puparia were arbitrarily assigned a value of 1 and 2, respectively. Identical results are achieved if other numbers are assigned to unparasitized and parasitized puparia during calibrations. Puparia were considered parasitized if predicted values were greater than a rejection threshold, and all others were considered unparasitized. To simplify presentation of results, the rejection threshold was set to 1.5 for all tests. Selecting other rejection thresholds, which is useful if the calibration set is unbalanced, can change the correct classification rate for unparasitized and parasitized puparia but does not significantly change the average classification rate. Since the correct classification rates for both unparasitized and parasitized puparia are important, the unweighted average classification rate is reported so that large numbers of parasitized or unparasitized puparia in unbalanced data sets do not skew results.

Cross-validation and prediction sets were used in the analyses. The cross-validation method attempts to emulate the prediction of unknown samples by using the training data set itself. To do this, one sample was removed from the data set, a calibration was developed with the remaining samples, and the removed sample was predicted. This was repeated for all samples and a calibration was selected using the number of factors recommended by the PLS software. The recommended number of PLS factors is based on the reduction in residual sum of squares gained by including additional PLS factors in the calibration model. Cross-validation calibrations and classification results were calculated separately for each group of puparia.

To determine how well one calibration predicted the parasitization of all puparia, a calibration was developed by randomly selecting 80% of the group 2 puparia 3 d before wasp emergence. All puparia in all groups then were predicted with that calibration. Classification errors resulting from this calibration likely would contain a large component due to instrument variability because tests spanned about 10 months, spectra were collected from two different instruments, and most tests used different NIR sensor gain settings.

Spectral regions sensitive to differences between parasitized and unparasitized puparia were determined from beta coefficients and difference spectra. For any given wavelength, the absolute value of the beta coefficient indicates how important that wavelength was for classification. Thus, beta coefficient plots can be compared to NIR absorptions of specific functional groups to indicate which molecules contribute to unique NIR absorptions between parasitized and unparasitized puparia. Difference spectra, calculated by subtracting spectra of unparasitized puparia from those of parasitized puparia, also indicate regions of interest.

## **Results and Discussion**

Cross-validation results for the five separate groups (Table 1) showed that the average rates of classification for parasitized and unparasitized puparia ranged from about 76 to 93%. The number of puparia correctly classified generally increased as the days before parasitoid emergence decreased. As a parasitoid feeds on a fly pupa within the puparium, it increases in size, while the fly pupal biomass decreases correspondingly. Therefore, differences between NIR absorption characteristics of parasitized and unparasitized puparia should be greatest just before wasp emergence. However, the success of classifying puparia up to 14 days before emergence indicates that differences are detectable even when parasitoids are in early stages of their development. Considering shipping and handling constraints for delivering parasitized puparia from commercial insectaries to field locations, a 5-day leeway prior to wasp emergence would be ideal.

The optimum number of PLS factors that resulted in the lowest classification errors ranged from 3 to 19. However, selecting 10 PLS factors for all models gave classification results similar to those achieved with the optimum number. Classification rates ranged from about 62% to 94% when all puparia were predicted using the calibration developed from the group 2 puparia 3 d before wasp emergence (Table 2). Prediction results for all groups averaged about 2 % lower than results achieved from calibrations developed for each individual group. These results indicate that a calibration developed by using spectra collected from parasitized puparia of one

age can be used to classify puparia of other ages, puparia originating from either laboratory or commercial sources, and puparia containing parasitoids of different species.

The difference spectrum (Figure 1) and beta coefficients (Figure 2) indicate where absorbance of NIR radiation by parasitized and unparasitized puparia may be unique. Similar difference spectra and beta coefficients were observed for all tests. Important wavelengths appear to be at 735, 810, 935, 1145, 1210, 1345, 1400, 1470, 1575, and 1655 nm. Wavelengths common to the difference spectra and beta coefficients occurred at 1145 nm and from 1320-1420 nm. The 1145 nm region corresponds to the C-H 2nd overtones, whereas the 1320-1420 nm region corresponds to C-H combinations and O-H 1st overtones (Shenk et al, 1992). These absorption differences may be due to differences in moisture, chitin, or lipid compositions of wasp and fly pupae. Previous research (Ridgway and Chambers, 1996; Dowell et al., 1999) indicates that the C-H absorption regions are influenced by chitin or lipids. The overtones of most organic functional groups occur in the 1350-1550 nm region, so the large absorption difference in this region was expected. The wavelengths reported here agree with those determined by other researchers as resulting from unique absorptions by unparasitized versus parasitized weevils (Baker et al, 1999), various internal wheat pests (Dowell et al., 1998; Ridgway and Chambers, 1996), and adult insects of different species (Dowell et al., 1999).

The classification rates shown in Table 1 for either the parasitized or unparasitized puparia can be improved by selecting a different rejection threshold. Figure 3 shows that the classification rates for unparasitized and parasitized puparia (group 2, 5 d from parasitoid emergence) were about 79% and 90%, respectively, when a rejection threshold of 1.5 was selected. To improve parasitoid classifications, the rejection threshold can be reduced to about 1.25, which results in about 100% of parasitized puparia being classified correctly. However, lowering the rejection threshold increases the number of unparasitized puparia incorrectly classified as parasitized. Conversely, if the rejection threshold is increased to about 2.0, then >95% of the unparasitized puparia are classified correctly, but about 60% of parasitized puparia would be misclassified as unparasitized. Therefore, the rejection threshold can be adjusted to correctly classify more parasitized or unparasitized puparia as needed for insectaries to optimize the delivery of viable parasitoids for controlling pest insects in specific situations.

The distinct peaks in the beta coefficient plots indicate that a filter-based NIR sensor could give similar classification results. Such a sensor would be significantly cheaper than the diode-array used in this research, thus lowering costs if a commercial instrument was developed to sort parasitized from unparasitized puparia. Research to determine which specific chemical components cause unique absorptions is needed. In addition, research to select specific wavelengths necessary for correct classification using a filter-based NIR sensor integrated with an automated sorting system also is needed. This technology could be used to evaluate parasitoid levels in the field, could provide commercial insectaries with an automated means of sorting fly puparia during production of beneficial insects, and could be applied to many other parasitoid species.

### **Acknowledgement**

We thank Duane Walker for his instrumentation expertise, Natasha Rowley for assistance in collecting spectra and analyzing data, and Kent Hampton for assistance in obtaining puparia.

- Baker, J.E., F.E. Dowell and J.E. Throne. 1999. Detection of parasitized rice weevils in wheat kernels with near-infrared spectroscopy. *Biological Control*. 16, 88-90.
- Dowell, F.E., J.E. Throne and J.E. Baker. 1998. Automated Nondestructive Detection of Internal Insect Infestation of Wheat Kernels by Using Near-Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy. *J. Economic Entomology*. 91, 899-904.
- Dowell, F.E., J.E. Throne, D. Wang, and J.E. Baker. 1999. Identifying stored-grain insects using near-infrared spectroscopy. *J. Economic Entomology*. 92, 165-169.
- Geden, C.J., D.A. Rutz, R.W. Miller and D.C. Steinkraus. 1992. Suppression of house flies (Diptera: Muscidae) on New York and Maryland dairies using releases of Muscidifurax raptor (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) in an Integrated management program. *Environmental Entomology*. 21, 1419-1426.
- Greenburg, B. *Flies and Disease*. 1973. Vol. 2. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Greene, G.L., J.A. Hogsette and R.S. Patterson. 1989. Parasites that attack stable fly and house fly (Diptera: Muscidae) puparia during the winter on dairies in northwestern Florida. *J. Economic Entomology*. 82, 412-415.
- Horton, D.L., D.C. Sheppard, M.P. Nolan, Jr., R.J. Ottens and J.A. Joyce. 1985. House fly (Diptera: Muscidae) resistance to permethrin in a Georgia caged layer poultry operation. *J. Agricultural Entomology*. 2, 196-199.
- Jackson, C.G., H.T. Chan, Jr., M.H. Taniguchi, D.B. Churchill and D.M. Bilsland. 1996. Pneumatic air separation for the sorting of parasitized and unparasitized fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) puparia. *J. Economic Entomology*. 89, 353-358.
- Martens, H. and T. Naes. 1989. *Multivariate calibrations*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK.
- Meyer, J.A., B.A. Mullens, T.L. Cyr and C.J. Stokes. 1990. Commercial and naturally occurring fly parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) as biological control agents of stable flies and house flies (Diptera: Muscidae) on California dairies. *J. Economic Entomology*. 83, 799-806.
- Murray, I. and P.C. Williams, in *Near-Infrared Technology in the Agricultural and Food Industries*, Ed by P.C. Williams and K.H. Norris. American Association of Cereal Chemists. St. Paul, MN, pp. 17-34 (1990).
- Ridgway, C. and J. Chambers. 1996. Detection of external and internal insect infestation in wheat by near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy. *J. Sci. Food Agric*. 71, 251-264.
- Shenk, J.S., J. J. Workman, Jr., and M. O. Westerhaus. 1992. in *Handbook of Near-Infrared Analysis*, Ed by D. A. Burns and E. W. Ciurczak. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, NY, pp. 383-431.
- Weinzierl, R.A. and C.J. Jones. 1998. Releases of *Spalangia nigroaenea* and *Muscidifurax* zaraptor (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) increase rates parasitism and total mortality of stable fly and house fly (Diptera: Muscidae) pupae in Illinois cattle feedlots. *J. Economic Entomology* 91, 1114-1121.
- Zdarek, J. in *Comprehensive Insect Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology*, Ed by G.A. Kerkut and L.I. Gilbert, Pergamon Press, New York, 8, 301-333 (1985).

Table 1. Classification of parasitized and unparasitized puparia of *Musca domestica* using NIR spectra and calibrations developed using partial least squares (PLS) regressions.

Group <sup>a</sup>	No. Puparia		Days before Wasp Emergence	Correct Classification Rate (%) <sup>c</sup>			
	Un. <sup>b</sup>	Para. <sup>b</sup>		No. PLS Factors	Un.	Para.	Avg. <sup>d</sup>
1	71	137	1	8	77.5	90.5	84.0
			3	9	88.7	81.8	85.3
			5	10	80.3	78.1	79.2
			8	14	77.5	75.9	76.7
			10	9	74.6	77.4	76.0
2	105	102	1	17	82.8	88.2	85.5
			3	18	78.1	87.3	82.7
			5	19	79.1	91.2	85.2
			7	14	78.1	91.2	84.7
			8	13	71.4	88.2	79.8
3	126	20	1	5	100	85.0	92.5
			4	7	96.8	80.0	88.4
			7	11	97.6	80.0	88.8
			11	11	98.4	80.0	89.2
			14	13	96.0	75.0	85.5
4	19	78	1	6	63.2	92.3	77.8
5	33	60	3	3	87.9	90.0	89.0

<sup>a</sup> Groups 1-3 were exposed to *Muscidifurax zaraptor*; groups 4 and 5 were exposed to *Spalangia cameroni* and *Muscidifurax raptorellus*, respectively.

<sup>b</sup> Un. = unparasitized; Para. = parasitized.

<sup>c</sup> For each group, the parasitization of each puparium was predicted by using a calibration developed from all remaining puparia from only that group.

<sup>d</sup> Unweighted average.

Table 2. Prediction of parasitized and unparasitized puparia of *Musca domestica* from NIR spectra. All puparia were predicted using a partial least squares regression calibration developed from 80% of group 2 puparia 3 d before wasp emergence (10 factors).

Group <sup>a</sup>	No. Puparia		Days before Wasp Emergence	Correct Classification Rate (%)		
	Un. <sup>b</sup>	Para. <sup>b</sup>		Un.	Para.	Avg. <sup>c</sup>
1	71	137	1	60.6	93.5	77.1
			3	60.6	98.6	79.6
			5	69	93.5	81.3
			8	46.5	98.6	72.6
			10	23.9	100	62.0
2	105	102	1	90.5	56.3	73.4
			3	83.8	84.4	84.1
			5	68.6	89.3	79.0
			7	65.7	87.4	76.6
			8	37.1	99.0	68.1
3	126	20	1	96.8	90.5	93.7
			4	96.8	90.5	93.7
			7	95.2	90.5	92.9
			11	92.1	85.7	88.9
			14	86.5	85.7	86.1
4	19	78	1	73.7	79.5	76.6
5	33	60	3	75.8	93.3	84.6

<sup>a</sup> Groups 1-3 were exposed to *Muscidifurax zaraptor*; groups 4 and 5 were exposed to *Spalangia cameroni* and *Muscidifurax raptorellus*, respectively.

<sup>b</sup> Un. = unparasitized; Para. = parasitized.

<sup>c</sup> Unweighted average.






Figure 1. Difference spectrum and typical absorption spectra of parasitized and unparasitized *Musca domestica* puparia.




Figure 2. Typical beta coefficients showing important wavelengths used by a partial least squares calibration to classify parasitized and unparasitized *Musca domestica* puparia.




Figure 3. Classification accuracy as a function of rejection threshold when classifying parasitized and unparasitized *Musca domestica* puparia 5 d from parasitoid emergence.